

JFK RECORDS

v09-16-96ccr

Cross Reference: None

Status in System:

-----  
-----  
AGENCY : HSCA  
RECORD NUMBER : 180-10142-10333  
RECORD SERIES : CIA SEGREGATED COLLECTION  
AGENCY FILE NUMBER : 24-05-03  
Other Agency Equity: None  
-----  
-----

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR : HSCA  
FROM :  
TO :  
TITLE :  
DATE : 00/00/00  
PAGES : 21  
DOCUMENT TYPE : NOTES  
SUBJECT(S) : ; ; ; HSCA;  
CLASSIFICATION : UNCLASSIFIED  
RESTRICTIONS : 3  
CURRENT STATUS : POSTPONED IN PART  
DATE OF LAST REVIEW : 08/08/95  
COMMENTS : Box 14

Released under the John F. Kennedy  
Assassination Records Collection  
Act of 1992 (44 USC 2107 Note).  
Case#:NW 53080 Date: 06-01-2017

Date in: 01/26/96

T T F F F F F F  
F F F F F F F F

(789) CIA 179 was one of cables that caused some excitement as it related that O had contact w/ Koutikov who was believed to be KGB.

## Mickey's Report

p. 7

Who was chief of SR from 8/62 - 9/63? Why not interviewed?

to p. 15

ed

ins ~~848~~-853 need paraphrasing

872/ Luba Duran interview - needs fn re u ✓  
Also need date of interview i.p. ref.

OK

685 / ok

686 / ok

687 / ok

688 / ok

689 / ~~OK~~ #1) ok

#2) ok

#3) ok

689 /

#1) ok

#2) ~~ok~~

#3) doesn't apply

690 / ok

#1) ok

#2) ok

#

#3) ok

691 / ok

Deleted 692 / #1) doesn't apply

#2) doesn't apply

} Delete fn as sentence is analysis.

693 / ~~OK~~ #1) ok

#2) ok

694 / OK #1) ok

#2) ok

695 / ~~OK~~ #1) ok

#2) ok

#3) ~~ok~~

711/ 1st letter, HSCA to Mexican govt.

781/ letter, HSCA to <sup>Cuban</sup> ~~Mexican~~ govt. (approx. Feb. or March 78)

784/ letter, HSCA to Cuban govt. (approx. Aug. 78)

982/ letter to Mex. govt

990 / HSCA to CIA

991 / CIA to HSCA

1017 ?

2

696/ ok

697/ ok

698/ ok

699/ ~~ok~~

700/ ~~OK~~ ok

2/ ok

701/ ~~OK~~ ok

~~ok now~~ 2) ok quote is not verbatim - See 701 1)

702/ ~~OK~~ ok

2) ok

703/ ~~OK~~ ok

2) ok

704/ ~~OK~~ ok

2) ok

; see also Section II.B.1 ; see also Glossary for info. re LITAMIL-7 & LITAMIL-9.

705/ ok

OK

706/ ok  
707/ ok  
708/ ok  
707b/ ok

OK

Mickey These footnotes are in an analysis section. I don't think they should be here - they're misleading.

708/ ok

OK

709/ ok

if → ibid. p. 66 ; <sup>Luis</sup> Echevarria reacted coolly to suggestion.

OK

710/ ok

Not exactly correct ; says that she was interviewed by Ams. in 1976, but it doesn't say that she wasn't interviewed by Ams. until 1976. ok as per fn. added to p.17 of Duran interview.

711/

Missing doc. E.H. says GRB is to find it.

Mickey is <sup>said</sup> <sup>enough</sup> <sup>implicit</sup> ~~OK~~

712/ ok

(p.19 - Duran interview)  
The reference does not refer to "Lee Harvey Oswald" - it refers to "a man you (Duran) later associated w/ pictures in the newspaper & a name in the newspaper of the alleged assassin of the President". Might add note to this effect for this fn & all subsequent ones.

OK now

713/ ok

Same problem as in #712 w.r.t. Oswald ; also these pages never mention the time of the visit.

741/ OK ~~Need ref. to where CIA finds source reliable.~~

741/ OK →

742/ OK

743/ OK

744/ OK

745/ OK

746/ OK

747/ OK

748/ OK

749/ OK

OK 750/ OK Needs a p. reference

OK 751/ OK looks incorrect. Entry doesn't state that E.G. reported info. to Chas. Thomas in '65.

Delete  
752/ OK Are there file review notes to refer to? or to further pages in report

753/ OK

OK 754/ OK -change date of interview to 8/23/78.



714/ <sup>also</sup> OK Same problem as in #712; p. 22 implies that he showed her the docs. on a subsequent visit, after he had left to get the photographs.

715/ OK - if add p. 31 re time of 2nd visit

716/ OK - if add pp. 27-28 re signing of stmts.

717/ <sup>OK</sup> doesn't say marriage cert. had name of his Russian wife; says he showed letters to Am. Comm. Party, not a membership card.

718/ OK

719/ OK

720/ OK

721/ OK

722/ <sup>if changed 45 to 43.</sup> OK p. 31 says that he left to get Russian visa; p. 43 <sup>she</sup> says she gave D her name & number possibly on 2nd visit

723/ <sup>p. 40</sup> OK Change to p. 37 for info re time he returned; need a cite for time of normal working hrs.

40-41 724/ <sup>OK</sup> wrong cite p. 37-38 may be correct

41 725/ <sup>OK</sup> wrong cite p. 38 may be correct

41 726/ <sup>OK</sup> wrong cite p. 38 may be correct

727/ <sup>OK</sup> p. 39 may be correct

43 728/ OK wrong cite p. 39 may be correct

43 729/ OK " " p. 39-40

43 736/ OK " " p. 40

42 731/ OK " " p. 40

43 732/ OK " " can't find another cite for this info. re Azcue

43, 51 733/ OK " " p. 40 - Azcue came & told O the requirements; p. 48 - Azcue explained things politely.

43, 51 734/ OK " " p. 48 - may be correct  
p. 40 - so Azcue told him (O) to go away or he would kick him

48 735/ OK

51 736/ OK wrong cite p. 40 - maybe correct

51 737/ OK

96 738/ OK Wrong cite pp. 43-44 of 2nd tape go into long comparison-type descriptions, but don't say he was 5'6" or 125 lbs.

739/ OK

740/ OK

755/ ok

756/ ok

757/ ok

758/ OK

759/ ok

~~Need to add: 7592/ Ibid.~~

760/ OK ~~According to whom & where does it say that?~~

761/ OK Need a p. reference for notes of review

762/ ok

763/ ok

764/ ok

765/ OK Says only that file would be "very thick" - doesn't say why.

766/ ok

767/ ok

768/ ok

769/ OK ok, but is it necessary if you already have "personality" in parens.?

770/ OK ok, but why put the whole response?

771/ ok

772/ ok

773/ ok

~~774/ OK~~ Delete

Think you need a more specific reference.

775/ OK

776/ OK p. 16-17 He stated that he thought she had some connection w/ Cuban Intelligence, not that she was an agent. He said that rather than saying she was a Cuban intell. agent, he turned it over to someone to investigate.

777/ OK {See text p. 201} 1) Quote is incorrect. I corrected it in text.  
2) Need to break up quote because it's actually 2 response, not a 1 para. response.  
3) then you need a 777 a / Ibid.

778/ ok if changed to p. 17

779/ ok

780/ OK Wording of explai. fn. should be changed.

781/ ETL says letter is lost.

782/ OK Transcript is in Spanish.

Where is it? 783/ OK

" 784/ OK

Where? What page? 785/ OK

786/ ETL says letter is lost.

787/ OK - could be combined w/ #788

788/ {OK Incorrect; If you add p. 35, that accounts for the third visit. But he specifically discusses that 3rd visit was probably on Sept. 27th or 28th, not in early Oct.

789/ ok if changed to include p. 29-31.

789a/ ok I crossed out certain things as per previous discussion

789b/ OK — I think all you need is pp. 29-30.

29-30 789c/ ok

30 789d/ ok

20 789e/ ok if change to p. 30

789f/ OK

p. reference is ok but add p. 30

↓ A whole thing is somewhat inaccurate. — 1) he didn't remember date; 2) D returned w/ photos

32 789g/ OK but Azcue said that Duran filled out application, not D.

33 789h/ ok if change to p. 32

32 or 33 789i/ OK Azcue said that D left the Consulate & may have gone to get visa

789j/ ok

34 790/ OK p. reference is ok, but Azcue indicates he is unsure which day he receives call & text implies that call was rec'd. the same day of 2 visits.

35 790/ ok

10-7 792/ ok

36 793/ ok

38 794/ ok if p. 37-38

795/ ok

796/ ok

797/ OK if change p. refs. to pp. 48-51.

797a/ ok

798/ ok

117 799/ OK p. ref. is ok, but p. 117 doesn't say that O visited C.C. in Sept. '63.

" 800/ ok

118 801/ ok

120 802/ ok

129 802a/ ok if changed to p. 119

" 802b/ ok

803/ ok) ok

2) ok

804/ ok

805/ ok

1) ok

2) ok

806/ ok

1) ok

2) ok

807/ ok

Delete the rest of entry  
1) #430 - this info. isn't on p. 94 2) ok -

808/ ok

Delete

2) ok

A

809/ OK 1) Delete #809

2) OK - A

810/ OK 1) Delete

2) OK

811/ OK 1) Delete

2) OK

812/ OK 1) Delete

2) OK

813/ OK I'm not sure this is the correct definition.

814/ OK 1) OK

2) OK - changed to p. 93, CIA # 720

815/ OK 1) OK

2) OK

817/ OK 1) OK

2) OK

816/ OK 1) OK

2) OK Write is correct; but there's a discrepancy in names - is it Deba or Deva?

818/ OK 1) OK

2) OK

819/ OK 1) OK

2) OK - changed to p. 93, CIA # 720

820/ OK 1) Delete - doesn't talk @ notation on p. 94 - entry 430 2) OK A

821/ OK

822/ OK What sentence is this fixing? - there's no #822 in text.

823/ ok

824/ OK 1) ok

2) ok Inserted "Entry #398"

825/ OK, although where did id. in fn come from?

826/ OK This ref. should be: WX 7241, Entry #399, p.87, CIA# 714. However, the text is incorrect - it says that "Scott noted the memo should be filed." The entry doesn't say that it should be filed - it says it should be "followed up".

827/ ok 1) ok - A

2) Delete Incorrect - this entry doesn't say this & I don't see another that does - <sup>you may want to</sup> strike this.

828/ ok

829/ OK 1) ok

2) ok

830/ OK ok - but don't you need ref. for fn. re LICHANT / 1 file review?

831/ OK 1) ok

2) ok

832/ OK 1) ok

2) ok

833/ OK 1) ok

2) Delete doesn't indicate this in Entry #404



835/ ok

836/ ok

837/ ok

~~838~~ delete

839/ ok ok, but where's ref. for fn.?

840/ ok - changed to p.3

841/ ok

842/ delete

843/ delete

844/ "

845/ "

846/ ok

847/ ok

848/ ok, but still on p.3

849/ ok , change to p.4

850/ ok

851/ ok

852/ ok

853/ ~~\*~~ ref. is ok, but needs to be paraphrased.

854/ OK ok, but a review of what files?

855/ ~~OK~~ ok

2) ok

856/ ok

857/ OK 1) ok

2) ok

858/ OK 1) ok

2)

Incorrect -  
Delete

859/ OK 1) ok

2) ok

860/ OK ~~2) OK~~ Incorrect -  
Delete

2) ok

861/ OK 1) ok

2) ok

862/ OK 1) Incorrect -  
Delete

2) ok

863/ OK

864/ OK 1) OK

2) OK

865/ OK 1) OK

2) OK

866/ OK 1) OK

2) OK

867/ OK 1) OK

2) OK

868/ EV 1) OK

2) OK

} but see → } why are there 2 fns for same sentence?

869/ OK 1) OK

2) OK

870/ OK 1) OK

2) OK

871/ OK 1) OK

2)

Incorrect - not in entry  
Want to delete it - 10

872/

873/ OV

? Where is ref. that Thomas circulated this info.?

874/ OK

875/ OK

876/ OK

877/ ok

878/ ok

879/ ok

880/ ok

881/ ok

882/ ~~OK~~

Life is ok, but  
Should it be "all. to matter" "1

883/ ~~OK~~

ok, but ↑

884/ ~~OK~~

ok, but ↑

885/ OK 1) ok

2) ok

886/ ok 1) ok

2) ok

887/ ok 1) ok

2) ok

888/ OK 1) ok

2) ok

889/ OK 1) ok

2) ok

890/ OK 1) ok

2) ok

891/ ~~OK~~ 1) ok

2) ~~OK~~ Incorrect - delete?

892/ ~~OK~~ 1) ok

2) Incorrect - delete?

893/	ok	1) ok	2) ok	
894/	ok	1) ok	2) ok	
895/	ok	1) ok	2) ok	
896/	ok	1) ok	2) ok	
897/	ok	1) ok	2) ok	
898/	ok	1) ok	2) ok	
899/	ok	1) ok	2) ok	
900/	ok	1) ok	2) ok	
901/	ok	1) ok	2) ok	
902/	ok	1) ok	2) ok	
903/	ok			
904/	ok	1) ok	2) ok	
905/	ok	1) ok	2) ok	
906/	ok			
907/	ok	1) ok	2) ok	
908/	ok	1) ok	2) ok	
909/	ok	1) ok	2) ok	
910/		1) ok - need to paraphrase	2) ok	
911/		1) ok	2)	Incorrect - delete?
912/	ok	<del>4</del>		
913/		1) ok	2)	Not in entry - delete?
914/		1) ok	2)	" "
915/		1) ok	2)	" "
916/	ok	1) ok	2) ok	" "
917/	ok	1) ok	2) ok	
918/	ok	1) ok	2) ok	
919/		1) ok	2) ok	

9d201 Cobb Sharp

964  
phone calls

920/	OK 1) OK	2) OK	
921/	OK 1) OK	2) OK	
922/	OK 1) OK	2) OK	
923/	OK 1) OK	2) OK	
924/	OK 1) OK	2) OK	
925/	OK 1) OK	2) OK	
926/	1) OK	2)	not in entry - delete?
927/	OK 1) OK	2) OK	
928/	OK		
929/	1) OK	2) OK	3) Check Duran interview JFK #011775
930/			

To Do

987

988

989

1066

1106

1139

1142

1144

1154

1174 A

1192